# Autocorrelation Techniques with Small Telescopes

Trying to beat the seeing in Eastern Kansas E. O. Wiley Yankee Tank Creek Observatory Lawrence, KS

# The Challenge

 Can we image astrophysically interesting pairs in less-than-perfect and even relatively poor nights of seeing with "average" amateur telescopes?





# Objectives

- Access autocorrelation data reduction techniques using a 204 mm telescope under less than ideal conditions.
- Compare the results to lucky imaging under the same conditions.
- For selected pairs, access accuracy using observed versus calculated (o-c) theta and rho



Stolen from Environment Canada

# The Equipment

- Telescope: 204mm F22.5 Dall-Kirkham
- Mount: Losmandy G-11 GEM with DSC
- DMK21 video camera (640x480 pixels)
- 2x Orion shorty barlow for nominal F50
- REDUC software for data reduction









# Methods - Imaging

- For each night's run
  - -Establish plate scale and orientation
  - Integration times: 8 millisecond to 66 milliseconds
  - Four videos per double with 400 1000 frames per video.
  - Or (wide pairs) 100 400 frames at up to 1 second.
  - -Convert avi files to bitmap images

### Methods - Autocorrelation

- REDUC v4.7 software (Losse, 2012)
- Autocorrelation
  - Autocorrelation with enhanced spectrum
  - -Lowest correlogram of S1-S9
  - -N=4 or N=5 measures

## Methods – Lucky Imaging

- REDUC v4.7
- Sort on Max signal
- Pick best 10-25% based on file size (larger % for wide bright pairs with good images)
- Stack and measure stacked image
- N= 4-5 total measures
- Save data to REDUC

### F50 - 00550+2338STF 73AB 36 And, 6<sup>th</sup> mag, Dm 0.4



S5 correlogram Best 500 of 1000 16 milliseconds Nominal F50 Seeing 3 2012.953 - Autocorrelation  $PA = 326.1^{\circ} \pm 0.6$   $Sep = 1.06'' \pm 0.03''$ o-c -0°7/-0"03 Muterspaugh et al., 2010

4<sup>th</sup> Interferometric Catalog Prieur et al. 2010.05 **o-c -0°6/-0"004** Mason et al. 2009.652 **o-c -0°6/-0"02** 

### 00550+2338STF 73AB Relative Motion

STF 73AB: X|Y



### 00550+2338STF 73AB: Lucky Imaging



1 of 1000 frames, 16 ms, F50



2012.953 F50 Quadplex "Surface" N=5 PA = 324.4° ± 0.7 Sep = 1.05" ± 0.02"

o-c -2°37/-0"02 Muterspaugh et al., 2010

Stack of best 100 frames

### 00550+2338STF 73AB Recent Observations



### 15038+4739STF1909

#### 44 Boo, 5<sup>th</sup>&6<sup>th</sup> mag, Dm 1



2012.953: F22.5, 8ms, S1 correlogram





2012.953: F22.5, 8ms, 40 stacked

Lucky 40/400 Quadplex - "Surface" N=4 PA = 61.3°±1.56 Sep = 1.297" ± 0.03" o-c -1°21/0"03

### History versus O-C

15038+4739 STF1909 Epoch|x -from 2000 to 2012



### History versus O-C

15038+4739 STF1909 Epoch|y -from 2000 to 2012



# What if you have no Model? 03401+3407STF 425





Best single of 1000 33ms F50 - 2012.9274 59.9±0.7° 1.91±0.03″

### Accessing o-c in Absence of Model

03401+3407STF 425: Epoch|x



### Accessing o-c in Absence of Model

03401+3407STF 425: Epoch|y



# Assessing o-c in Absence of Model

- Regress x and y-values including your measure on Epoch. ("true" regression - Epoch without error)
- Predicted x- and y-values = "Calculated"
- Measured values = "Observed"
- Convert predicted x- and y-values to "calculated" theta and rho
- Calculate o-c
- Example: STF 425 o-c (autocorrelation)
  - Theta 0°67
  - Rho 0"03



### Rho|o-c Theta at F22.5 & F50



### Rho|o-c Theta at F22.5 & F50



# Conclusions

- Autocorrelation seems to work well with pixels in the apparent absence of speckles even under adverse conditions with small telescopes and modest cameras.
- For well resolved doubles autocorrelation and lucky imaging seem equally accurate up to at least 1.5-2" separation although measurement scatter is greater with lucky imaging as implemented by me in REDUC.
- Autocorrelation seems superior to lucky imaging under adverse conditions and doubles <1.5".

## Acknowledgements

- Florent Losse for much advice on REDUC.
- Brian Mason and Bill Hartkopf (USNO) for honoring my many requests for data.
- Bob Royce for producing a truly exceptional set of Dall-Kirkham optics.
- Russ Genet for hours of talking "REDUC."
- All the organizers who have made this conference a success.